

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,265	08/05/2003	Scott Charles McCartney	16367-US	7061	
7590 08/31/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Kevin J. Moriarty			NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J		
Patent Department DEERE & COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One John Deer	e Place	3671			
Moline, IL 61265-8098			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/634,265	MCCARTNEY, SCOTT CHARLES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher J. Novosad	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address ~				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.4	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 August 2004</u> .					
	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application or the price of the p	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olson. With respect to claim 1, Olson shows a seeding machine 10 comprising:

a frame 12 (Fig. 1) having a center frame section 16,26,28 (Fig. 1) and left 18,30 and right 14 (col. 2, lines 20-22, misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections forwardly offset from the center frame section 16,26,28 when in a transport configuration (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 10-13), the frame 12 having a planting configuration (Fig. 1) wherein the left 18,30 and right (col. 2, lines 20-22, misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections extend transversely and parallel (Fig. 1) from the center frame section 16,26,28 and a transport configuration (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 10-13) wherein the left 18,30 and right (col. 2, lines 20-22, misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections extend perpendicular to the center frame section 16,26,28,

the center frame section 16,26,28 and the left 18,30 and right (col. 2, lines 20-22; misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections each have a hollow toolbar 24,26,28,30 forming a pneumatic manifold 24,26,28,30,

individual planting units 20 being mounted via structure, unnumbered, in Fig. 2 to the toolbars 24,26,28,30, each planting unit 20 comprising a pneumatic seed meter (col. 3, lines 34 and 35) and a furrow opener (unnumbered; Fig. 2),

the pneumatic manifold 24,26,28,30 of the center frame section 16,26,28 being pneumatically coupled to each of the left 18,30 and right (col. 2, lines 20-22, misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections by a resilient bell 54,56 or 60,62,64 that forms a closed pneumatic path (Fig. 4) between the center frame section 16,26,28 and the left 18,30 and right (col. 2, lines 20-22, misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections when the frame 12 is in its planting configuration (Fig. 1), the pneumatic manifolds 24,26,28,30 being pneumatically coupled to the pneumatic seed meters (col. 3, lines 34 and 35) by air hoses (unnumbered; Figs. 1 and 2).

As to claim 2, Olson shows a forwardly extending draw bar (unnumbered; Fig. 1) indirectly extending from the center frame section 16,26,28.

Regarding claim 3, the left 18,30 and right (col. 2, lines 20-22, misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections are folded forwardly from their planting configuration (Fig. 1) to their transport configuration (Fig. 2., col. 3, lines 10-13) along side the draw bar (unnumbered; Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 4, each toolbar 24,26,28,30 comprises a main toolbar 24,26,28,30 and a vertically displaced parallel secondary bar 14,16,18 that together form a truss.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the remarks of the amendment dated August 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to Applicant's argument that the frame sections are not offset, the left 18,30 and right 14 (col. 2, lines 20-22, misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections of Olson are

Application/Control Number: 10/634,265

Art Unit: 3671

forwardly offset from the center frame section 16,26,28 when in a transport configuration (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 10-13).

Contrary to Applicant's argument that the frame sections do not form a pneumatic manifold, claim 1 does not require the frame sections to form a pneumatic manifold. Rather, claim 1 requires the center frame section and the left and right wing frame sections to each have a hollow toolbar forming a pneumatic manifold. Olson shows the center frame section 16,26,28 and the left 18,30 and right (col. 2, lines 20-22; misnumbered as 16 in Fig. 1),24 wing frame sections each having a hollow toolbar 24,26,28,30 forming a pneumatic manifold 24,26,28,30.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Novosad whose telephone number is 703-308-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached at 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Novosad Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3671

August 27, 2004